The Prevalence of Constipation and Its Associated Complications in Aseer Region by Using Wexner Scale

Muneer Jan Bhat ¹, Sultan Mohammed Abdullah Alkorbi ², Nejad Mubasher Mohammed Alnaem ², Abdullah Omar Musleh Alqarni ², Shadi Ahmad Abdullah Alamri ², Lama Gasem Asiri ², Khalid Ali Abdullah Alsaiari ²

(1) Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology Department of surgery, College of Medicine, King Khalid University, Abha(2) Medical student at King Khalid university, Abha, Saudi Arabia.

Correspondence: Shehata Farag Shehata Lecturer of Biostatistics High Institute of Public health Alexandria University **Email:** shehatafarag@yahoo.com

Received: September 2022 Accepted: October 2022; Published: November 1, 2022. Citation: Muneer Jan Bhat et al. The Prevalence of Constipation and Its Associated Complications in Aseer Region by Using Wexner Scale. World Family Medicine. 2022; 20(11): 90-99. DOI: 10.5742/MEWFM.2022.95251365

Abstract

Background: Constipation is a common condition in the community which represents a significant burden for both individuals and health care systems. For the individual, constipation is associated with pain and symptoms which negatively impact quality of life. Constipation is a common gastrointestinal problem, which causes many expenses for the community with an estimated prevalence of 1% to 80%, worldwide.

Aim: to assess the prevalence of constipation and its associated complications in Asser region, Saudi Arabia, by using Wexner Constipation Scoring System.

Methodology: A descriptive cross-sectional survey was used targeting all groups of population in Aseer region for at least 6 months, aged 18 years or more, and who agreed to participate in the study. Data was collected using structured questionnaire included person's sociodemographic data, in addition to constipation severity as assessed using Wexner constipation scoring system. The final questionnaire was uploaded using social media platforms and answers were included consecutively till no more answers were obtained.

Results: 939 participants completed the survey; 23.2% of participants had a score of (11-10) = mild constipation, and only 1.3% had a score (21-30) = moderate to severe constipation. The most common complications related to constipation and high Wexner score were: Hemorrhoid then hernia then rectal prolapse and anal fissure. In regard to most behaviors related to constipation and high Wexner score: change in daily routine was the highest correlated behavior with Wexner constipation score, followed by "smoking". The clinical status related with constipation and high Wexner score: Chronic diseases and frequent use of medications. For marital status, being widowed or divorced was associated with a significantly higher score in Wexner constipation than being single or married. Doing exercises each day was associated with a lower score in Wexner constipation.

Conclusions: In conclusion, the current study showed that chronic constipation was not a common health problem among the study population with higher severity score among old aged participants who were married and in the working group.

Key words: Constipation, prevalence, population, Wexner score, risk factors, complications, Saudi Arabia.

Background

Constipation is defined as difficult and/or rare passage of stool or both [1]. Constipation is a common gastrointestinal problem, which causes much expense for the community with an estimated prevalence of 1% to 80%, worldwide [2] where the condition is characterized by a wide geographical variation. It is noteworthy that the varieties of definitions have led to a wide range of prevalence. The varieties in the description of constipation was the main cause of a wide range of reported prevalence [1]. Several definitions of constipation are due to scientific considerations such as secondary causes (medications), neurological, or systemic diseases. Though, it is well thought to be primary or idiopathic [3]. Consequently, constipation is usually focused in clinical practice and the global population is observing a rapid increase in its incidence [4].

There are different reported symptoms among patients including straining, incomplete evacuation, and a sense of anorectal blockage which are just as significant as reduced stool frequency [5-8]. Numerous factors are involved in the pathogenesis of the disease, such as nature of diet, genetic factors, colonic motility, absorption, social economic status, daily life activities, biological and pharmacological factors [9].

Constipation, especially chronic constipation is associated with many complications which may develop mainly among neglected cases. Hemorrhoids, anal fissure, fecal impaction, rectal prolapse, and developing of inguinal hernia are the most reported associated complications [10-12].

This study aimed to assess the prevalence of constipation and its associated complications in Aseer region, Saudi Arabia, by using Wexner Constipation Scoring System and to shed light on the most predominant risk factors contributing to the development of constipation in Aseer region and to contribute to medical efforts that aim to increase life quality of life of society.

Methodology

A descriptive cross-sectional survey was used targeting all groups of population aged 18 years or more in Aseer region for at least 6 months, and who agreed to participate in the study. Patients with congenital GIT disorders were excluded. Data was collected using structured questionnaire including a person's sociodemographic data, in addition to constipation severity assessed using Wexner constipation scoring system (WCSS) combined with questions relevant to the target of our research. The Wexner constipation scoring system is a scoring system composed of 8 items, with score ranges from 0 - 30; the highest score the more constipation severity [13]. The study guestionnaire was reviewed by a panel of 3 experts for validity and applicability and all suggested modifications were considered. The final questionnaire was uploaded using social media platforms and answers were included consecutively till no more answers were obtained.

Statistical analysis

Analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for Social Studies (SPSS) version 19 for Windows (IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Participants' characteristics were summarized using frequency and percentage. Wexner constipation score was summarized using frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation. Wexner constipation data were scored using the algorithm provided by the instrument manual. To investigate correlations between Wexner constipation score and other variables under study, Spearman correlation was calculated (p < 0.05). To determine potential risk factors (predictors) that influence Wexner constipation score, multivariate regression model was used. Only significant correlated variables with this score from the correlation analysis were included in the multivariate regression model. In addition, the assumptions of multiple regression (multicollinearity outliers, normality, linearity, homoscedasticity, and independence of residuals) were tested. Lower variance inflation factor (VIF) values (<2.5) was used to indicate that no multicollinearity problem was found.

Results

Table 1 summarizes the demographic characteristics of participants (n=939). The majority of participants (68.6%) were female and 60.8% were between 18-30 years old. About 59.5% of the participants had a bachelor university degree. More than half of participants (52.7%) were single, and 41.7% of the participants were students, 26.2% were non-employed, and 25.8% were employed.

Table 2, shows the majority of participants (85.5%) were non-smokers, 57.9% were doing some kind of exercises, and 35.1% of them were doing these exercises daily. In addition, the majority of participants (96.5%) eat foods that contain dietary fiber with different rates; 32.7% of them eat a small amount daily, whereas 29.9% of them eat these foods daily. Regarding to the number of water glasses or unsweetened fruit juice consumed per day, the results showed that 47% of participants consumed (1-3) cups and 31.7% consumed (4-6) cups. Moreover, 71.6% of participants go directly to the bathroom when they feel the urge to evacuate. Almost 64% of them did not face any reason that led to a clear change in their daily routine. Table 3 demonstrates that 675 (71.9%) of participants did not use any medications identified in this table. However, 42% of participants who are using medications take iron

supplements and 20.8% take antacids. In addition, 617 (65.7%) of participants did not suffer from any medical condition identified in Table 3. For those who are suffering from medical condition(s), 49.7% of them suffered from irritable bowel syndrome, and 25.8% of them suffered from Hemorrhoids.

According to the complications identified in this study, 55.6% of participants did not have any of these complications as shown in Table 4. On the other hand, 52.8% of participants who are suffering from complications identified "feeling incomplete after evacuation" as a major complication

they suffer. In addition, 32.9% and 31.9% of them suffered from hemorrhoids and anal fissure respectively as major complications. Table 4 also demonstrates that 75.5% of participants score (0-10), 23.2% score (11-10) and only 1.3% score (21-30). However, the mean score of participants in Wexner constipation was 7.15 with a standard deviation of 5.006. These results indicate that a low level of constipation was prevalent among participants.

To investigate the correlation between potential risk factors (demographic characteristics, behaviors related to constipation, clinical status and constipation complications) and scoring in Wexner constipation, Spearman correlation was calculated (p < 0.05). Regarding demographic characteristics, Table 5 showed that there were significant correlations between Wexner constipation score and age (r=0.10; p<.01), marital (r=0.08; p<0.05) and employment status (r=0.20; p<0.01). In addition, all behaviors identified in this study were significantly correlated with Wexner constipation score except the "number of water glasses or unsweetened fruit juice consumed per day". Having a reason that led to a clear change in daily routine was the higher correlated behavior with Wexner constipation score (r=0.21; p<0.01), followed by "smoking" (r=0.19; p<0.01) and "going to bathroom directly in case of urgent evacuation" (r=-0.19; p<0.01). Regarding clinical status variables; suffering from medical conditions (r=0.41; p<0.01) and use of medications (r=0.28; p<0.01) showed significant correlations with Wexner constipation score. For constipation complications; this variable showed a higher significant correlation with Wexner constipation score (r=0.49; p<0.01) compared to other variables under investigation. In addition, all constipation complications identified for this study showed significant correlations with Wexner constipation score. Hemorrhoids showed the higher value of correlation (r=0.21; p<0.01) with Wexner constipation score.

Table 6 shows the potential risk factors that influence the scoring in Wexner constipation. Only significant correlated variables with Wexner constipation from the correlation analysis (Table 5) were included in the multivariate regression model. Age, employment status, doing any kind of exercise and eating foods that contain dietary fiber did not account for a significant portion of the variance in Wexner constipation score (p > 0.05). However, marital status (p < 0.001), smoking (p = 0.042), times of doing exercises (p =0.039), going to bathroom directly in case of urgent evacuation (p < 0.001), having reason that led to a clear change in daily routine (p < 0.001), use of medications (p = 0.005), suffering from medical conditions (p < 0.001), and constipation complications (p <0.001) were significant risk factors (predictors) that influence the scoring in Wexner constipation. These eight risk factors explained 35.7% of variation in Wexner constipation score (R2 = 0.357). According to positive ß coefficient (= 0.124) for marital status, being widowed or divorced was associated with a significantly higher score in Wexner constipation than being single or married. In addition, smoker participants reported significantly

higher scores in Wexner constipation than non-smokers. According to positive ß coefficient (= 0.086) for smoking, being smoker was associated with a significantly higher score in Wexner constipation than being non-smokers. Despite doing exercises was not a risk factor for a higher score in Wexner constipation, however times of doing these exercises was a significant risk factor. According to negative ß coefficient (= -0.116), doing exercises each day was associated with a lower score in Wexner constipation. Participants doing exercises less than once a week or a month reported a significantly higher score in Wexner constipation than participants who are doing exercises daily. The results also indicated that going to the bathroom directly in case of urgent evacuation was associated with Wexner constipation score. According to negative ß coefficient (= -0.135), participants who adhere to this behavior reported a significantly lower score in Wexner constipation than participants who did not take care of this behavior. On the other hand, having a reason that led to a clear change in daily routine was associated with Wexner constipation score. Positive & coefficient (=0.116) for this variable indicating that participants who have reason that led to a clear change in their daily routine reported a higher score in Wexner constipation than participants who do not have a reason for such change. According to clinical status related variables, use of medications and suffering from medical conditions were associated with Wexner constipation score. Positive ß coefficients for both variables (=0.077 and 0.209 respectively) indicating that these two variables were associated with a higher score in Wexner constipation. For constipation complications, having these complications was associated with a higher score in Wexner constipation than the absence of them. Positive & coefficient (= 0.305) indicating that participants having constipation complications reported a significantly higher score in Wexner constipation than participants who did not have these complications.

Finally, this study assessed the effect of difficulty in evacuation on participants' lifestyle. As shown from Table 7, 53.8% of participants reported no effect, 28.9% reported low effect, and 13.4% reported moderate effect while only 3.9% reported high effect.

Characteristics	Frequency	Percent
Sex		
Male	295	31.4
Female	644	68.6
Age		
18-30 years	571	60.8
31-40 years	167	17.8
41-50 years	145	15.4
51-60 years	39	4.2
> 60 years	17	1.8
Educational level		
Primary school	19	2
Middleschool	28	3
Secondary school	202	21.5
Diploma	90	9.6
University (bachelor)	559	59.5
University (higher studies)	41	4.4
Marital status		
Single	495	52.7
Married	377	40.1
Divorced	48	5.1
Widowed	19	2
Employment status		
Non-employed	246	26.2
Students	392	41.7
Retired	59	6.3
Employed	242	25.8

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of study participants

Table 2. Participants' behaviors related to constipation

Behavior	Frequency	Percent
Do you smoke?		
yes	136	14.5
no	803	85.5
Are you doing any kind of exercises?		
yes	544	57.9
no	395	42.1
If yes, how often?		
once a month	57	10.5
once a week	119	21.9
3 times a week	177	32.5
daily	191	35.1
Do you eat foods that contain dietary fiber?		
no	33	3.5
Less than once a week	130	13.8
3-5 times a week	188	20
little amount daily	307	32.7
daily	281	29.9
How many total glasses of water or unsweetened fruit juice do		
you consume per day?		
1-3 cups	441	47
4-6 cups	298	31.7
7-8 cups	125	13.3
>8 cups	75	8
When you feel the urge to evacuate, do you go to the bathroom		
directly?		
yes	672	71.6
no	267	28.4
Do you have any reason that led to a clear change in your daily		
routine, such as (travel, marriage, pregnancy, a new job)?		
yes	337	35.9
no	602	64.1

Variables	Frequency	Percent
Do you use any of these medications?		
no	675	71.9
high blood pressure	68	25.8
iron supplement	111	42
antacids	55	20.8
opioids	31	11.7
non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	30	11.4
cal ci um supplement	45	17
anti-Parkinson's disease medications	15	5.7
antipsychotics	30	11.4
anticonvulsants (anti-epileptics)	19	7.2
Do you suffer from any of these medical		
conditions?		
no	617	65.7
irritable bowel syndrome	160	49.7
diabetes	71	22
hypothyroidism	40	12.4
hemorrhoids	83	25.8
intestinal obstruction	18	5.6
colorectal cancer	25	7.8
lupus	11	3.4
multiple sclerosis	5	1.6
stroke	14	4.3
Parkinson's disease	5	1.6
spinal cord injury	12	3.7

Table 3. Participants' clinical status

Table 4. Participants	' constipation	complications	and scoring in Wexne	r constipation

Variables	Frequency	Percent
Have you noticed that you have any of these complications?		
no	522	55.6
analfissure	133	31.9
feeling incomplete after evacuation	220	52.8
rectal prolapse	36	8.6
hemorrhoids	137	32.9
hernia	38	9.1
scoring in Wexner constipation		
0-10	709	75.5
11-20	218	23.2
21-30	12	1.3
	mean	S.D
scoring in Wexner constipation	7.15	5.006
scoring in Wexner constipation	7.15	5.006

Variables	scoring in Wexner constipation
Demographic	r
Sex	0.04
Age	0.10 **
Educational level	-0.07
Marital status	0.08*
Employment status	0.20**
Behaviors related to constipation	
Smoking	0.19**
Doing any kind of exercises	-0.07*
Times of doing exercises	-0.10**
Eatingfoods that contain dietary fiber	-0.15 **
Number of water glasses or unsweetened fruit	-0.02
juice consumed per day	
Going to bathroom directly in case of urgent	-0.19 **
evacuation	
Having a reason that led to a clear change in daily	0.21**
routine	
Clinical status	
Use of medications	0.28 **
Sufferingfrom medical conditions	0.41**
Constipation complications (yes-no)	0.49**
analfissure	0.14**
feeling incomplete after evacuation	0.13**
rectal prolapse	0.14**
hemorrhoids	0.21**
hernia	0.15**
*Significant at $n \le 0.01$ *Significant at $n \le 0.05$	

Table 5. Bivariate associations between study variables and scoring in Wexner constipation

**Significant at p≤0.01

*Significant at p≤0.05

Table 6. Multivariate model investigating risk factors of Wexner constipation score

Variables	Wexner constipation score			
Demographic				
	ß	t	Ρ	
Age	-0.052	-1.544	0.123	
Marital status	0.124	3.659	<0.001**	
Employment status	0.026	0.951	0.342	
Behaviors related to constipation				
Smoking	0.086	1.949	0.042*	
Doing any kind of exercises	0.035	0.614	0.539	
Times of doing exercises	-0.116	1.981	0.039*	
Eating foods that contain dietary fiber	-0.038	-1.353	0.177	
Going to bathroom directly in case of urgent evacuation	-0.135	-4.896	<0.001**	
Having a reason that led to a clear change in daily routine	0.116	3.872	<0.001**	
Clinical status				
Use of medications	0.077	2.784	.005**	
Sufferingfrom medical conditions	0.209	6.845	<0.001**	
Constipation complications	0.305	10.434	<0.001**	
R ²		0.357	5	
F(sig)	42.91 (<0.001**)			

**Significant at p≤0.001

*Significant at p≤0.05

Table 7. Effect of difficulty in evacuation on participants' lifestyle.

	Frequency	Percent
Has the difficulty of evacuation affected your lifestyle?		2010/07/201
No	505	53.8
yes, with low effect	271	28.9
yes, with moderate effect	126	13.4
yes, with high effect	37	3.9

Discussion

Constipation is a frequent functional gastrointestinal disorder. Globally, the prevalence of constipation in the general population is nearly 20% while it varies from 2% to 27%, depending on the definition used and population studied [14, 15]. A cross sectional study showed that the cumulative incidence of chronic constipation is higher among the elderly (20%) compared to a younger population (3). Severe constipation is more reported among elderly women, with two to three times higher rates than that of their male counterparts [16-18].

The current study aimed to assess the prevalence of constipation and its associated complications in Aseer region, Saudi Arabia, by using the Wexner Constipation Scoring System and to assess the most significant risk factors contributing to constipation in Aseer region. The study showed that a low level of constipation was prevalent among participants where Wexner constipation was 7.15 with a standard deviation of 5.0. This low score which indicates low incidence and constipation severity

was associated with reported daily life activities as more than half of the study participants do exercises, with onethird of them doing these exercises daily. In addition, the majority of participants (96.5%) eat foods that contain dietary fiber with different rates, while only one-third (32.7%) of them eat a little amount daily. As for water drinking, or unsweetened fruit juice consumed per day, the results showed that less than half of participants consumed 1-3 cups and one-third (31.7%) consumed 4-6 cups. Furthermore, about three-quarters (71.6%) of participants go directly to the bathroom when they feel the urge to evacuate. Almost 64% of them did not face any reason that led to a clear change in their daily routine. In India, a similar low prevalence of constipation was estimated where the prevalence of self-reported constipation within the last 1 year was 24.8% whereas 16.8% of participants had constipation according to the Rome II criteria [19]. A systematic review conducted by Schmidt FM et al [20], included 11 studies that revealed a prevalence of constipation that varied from 2.6% to 26.9%. The most frequently cited associated factors were female gender and advanced age, which were cited in 11 and 7 of the studies, respectively. Locally, a study conducted

in the central region population, Riyadh and Qassim provinces, Saudi Arabia [21], revealed that only 4.4% showed constipation clinical signs, whereas those whose result indicates no suffering from constipation represented 95.6%. Constipation is more prevalent among females (79.2%) than males (20.8%). Moreover, constipation is more severe among those who are between 20- and 35-years old.

Considering complications, the current study showed that feeling incomplete after evacuation" was a major complication. In addition, 32.9% and 31.9% of them suffered from hemorrhoids and anal fissure respectively as major complications. These findings were consistent with previously reported constipation related complications [22-24].

The study also showed that there were significant correlations between Wexner constipation score and age (r=0.10; p<0.01), marital (r=0.08; p<0.05) and employment status (r=0.20; p<0.01). In addition, all behaviors identified in this study were significantly correlated with Wexner constipation score except the "number of water glasses or unsweetened fruit juice consumed per day".

Conclusions and Recommendations

In conclusion, the current study showed that chronic constipation was not a common health problem among the study population with a higher severity score among old aged participants who were married and the working group. Since constipation is preventable and widely associated with risk factors, the decreasing of exposure to these risk factors contributes in prevention of developing constipation and of course its complications. Quitting smoking, daily exercise, controlling chronic diseases, eating plenty of foods with high fiber, fixation of routine as much as possible, are behaviors all strongly recommended, and significantly related to lower Wexner score i.e lower incidence of constipation. On the other hand, good dealing and management for constipation, and early intervention either in lifestyle modeling or in a pharmacological method will contribute to decreasing of developing constipation complications.

References

1. Forootan M, Bagheri N, Darvishi M. Chronic constipation: A review of literature. Medicine. 2018 May;97(20).

2. Pinto Sanchez MI, Bercik P. Epidemiology and burden of chronic constipation. Canadian Journal of Gastroenterology. 2011 Oct;25(B):11B-5B.

3. Talley NJ. Definitions, epidemiology, and impact of chronic constipation. Reviews in Gastroenterological Disorders. 2004 Jan 1;4: S3-10.

4. Alhusainy YA, Alhowaish NY, Alorabi HZ, Alsebti AA, AlJutaili HS, Tarakji AR, Tharkar S. Symptoms and prevalence of constipation among adult population of Riyadh city: an internet-based survey. The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine. 2018 Jan 1;70(8):1317-22.

5. Bharucha AE, Lacy BE. Mechanisms, evaluation, and management of chronic constipation. Gastroenterology. 2020 Apr 1;158(5):1232-49.

6. Koch A, Voderholzer WA, Klauser AG, Müller-Lissner S. Symptoms in chronic constipation. Diseases of the colon & rectum. 1997 Aug;40(8):902-6.

7. Mertz H, Naliboff B, Mayer EA. Symptoms and physiology in severe chronic constipation. The American journal of gastroenterology. 1999 Jan 1;94(1):131-8.

8. Loening-Baucke V. Prevalence, symptoms and outcome of constipation in infants and toddlers. The Journal of pediatrics. 2005 Mar 1;146(3):359-63.

9. Ghoshal UC. Review of pathogenesis and management of constipation. Tropical Gastroenterology. 2008 Jun 27;28(3):91-5.

10. Gallo-Torres H, Brinker A, Avigan M. Alosetron: ischemic colitis and serious complications of constipation. Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology ACG. 2006 May 1;101(5):1080-3.

11. Norton C. Constipation in older patients: effects on quality of life. British Journal of Nursing. 2006 Feb 23;15(4):188-92.

12. Alessi CA, Henderson CT. Constipation and fecal impaction in the long-term care patient. Clinics in geriatric medicine. 1988 Aug 1;4(3):571-88.

13. Agachan F, Chen T, Pfeifer J, Reissman P, Wexner SD. A constipation scoring system to simplify evaluation and management of constipated patients. Diseases of the colon & rectum. 1996 Jun;39(6):681-5.

14. Higgins PD, Johanson JF. Epidemiology of constipation in North America: a systematic review. Am J Gastroenterol. 2004;99(4):750–759.

15. Bharucha AE, Pemberton JH, Locke GR. American Gastroenterological Association technical review on constipation. Gastroenterology. 2013;144(1):218–238.

16. Choung RS, Locke GR, Schleck CD, Zinsmeister AR, Talley NJ. Cumulative incidence of chronic constipation: a population-based study 1988–2003. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2007;26(11–12):1521–1528.

17. Talley NJ, Fleming KC, Evans JM, et al. Constipation in an elderly community: a study of prevalence and potential risk factors. Am J Gastroenterol. 1996;91(1):19–25.

18. Wald A, Scarpignato C, Mueller-Lissner S, et al. A multinational survey of prevalence and patterns of laxative use among adults with self-defined constipation. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2008;28(7):917–930.

19. Rajput M, Saini SK. Prevalence of constipation among the general population: a community-based survey from India. Gastroenterology Nursing. 2014 Nov 1;37(6):425-9.

20. Schmidt FM, de Gouveia Santos VL. Prevalence of constipation in the general adult population: an integrative review. Journal of Wound Ostomy & Continence Nursing. 2014 Jan 1;41(1):70-6.

21. Alhassan M, Alhassan A, Alfarhood A, Alotaibi K, Alrashidy N, Alshalhoub K, Almeshal M. Prevalence of constipation among central region population, Riyadh and Qassim provinces, Saudi Arabia, 2018-2019. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care. 2019 Feb;8(2):673.

22. Motta ME, Silva GA. Signs and symptoms associated with chronic constipation. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2000 May 1;76(3):222-6.

23. Pekmezaris R, Aversa L, Wolf-Klein G, Cedarbaum J, Reid-Durant M. The cost of chronic constipation. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association. 2002 Jul 1;3(4):224-8.

24. Gray JR. What is chronic constipation? Definition and diagnosis. Canadian journal of gastroenterology. 2011 Oct 1;25(Suppl B):7B-10B.