Economic burden of diabetes care: The economic burden on health systems of low and middle-income African countries

Almoutaz Aljkhier Ahmed (1) Nada Najib Almulla (2)

 Dr. Almoutaz Alkhier Ahmed , FRCGP[INT] , FESC ,MSc in diabetes , MSc in health economy. Senior Family Medicine Specialist – Dubai Health Authority
 Dr.Nada Najib Almulla, Director of NAHC. Senior family medicine specialist, Dubai health Authority

Corresponding author:

Dr. Almoutaz Alkhier Ahmed, FRCGP[INT], FESC, MSc in diabetes , MSc in health economy. Senior Family Medicine Specialist – Dubai Health Authority **Email:** khier2@yahoo.com

Received: October 2020; Accepted November 2020; Published: December 1, 2020. Citation: Almoutaz Aljkhier Ahmed, Nada Najib Almulla. Economic burden of diabetes care: The economic burden on health systems of low and middle-income African countries. World Family Medicine. 2020; 18(12): 248-257 DOI: 10.5742/MEWFM.2020.93939

Abstract

Background: There has been recognized documented increase in diabetes prevalence worldwide and even regions with known low prevalence of diabetes such as Africa, started to register increase in prevalence of diabetes. The overstretched health care systems in most African countries faces many challenges to offer resources for the rapidly growing health problems such as Diabetes Mellitus.

Objective: To assess the magnitude of economic burden of diabetes care in low- and middle-income African countries.

Method: Literature review using systematic approach was conducted for the evidence on the cost of diabetes in low- and middle-income African countries. Search was conducted mainly through PubMed. Other search engines such as Google Scholar, and University of South Wales library were used. Search terms were carefully used. Found results were filtered used selective criteria for inclusion and exclusion. Data from included studies was extracted using multiple sheets. Results were presented in tables and discussed for their meanings. Results: Twenty-four (24) articles were selected based on defined selection criteria. There is variation in the annual national direct cost between countries. Indirect costs per patient were higher than direct costs per patient for diabetes care in studies that calculated both. Outpatient costs varied between studies. Cost of drugs, diagnostic, medical supply and consultation costs are the common included outpatient costs. In studies that included total costs and drug costs, the drug costs consumed a significant portion of total cost. The large burden of diabetes care cost fell on individuals within lowincome countries.

Conclusion: There is a considerable economic burden associated with diabetes mellitus. Future research should focus on standardization of the methodologies for cost calculation, enhancing the interpretation of study findings and facilitating comparisons between studies.

Key words: Diabetes, Cost of care, Low and middle income countries.

Introduction

Diabetes Mellitus was considered a costly disease due to its prolonged nature that is associated with many serious complications (1). Cho et al – 2017 (2) stated that the total global healthcare expenditure due to diabetes for people in the age group 20–79-years was estimated at to be US727 billion in 2017. This number is expected to rise in 2045 to be US776 billion (1.75 billion / year) with an approximately 7% increase during a period of 28 years (2).

The rising pattern of the prevalence of Diabetes Mellitus and the early mortality due to diabetes increased the financial costs over families and governments (3) with noticeable press on the already over-strained healthcare systems in African countries (4). IDF stated that 6 - 16%of the total healthcare budgets were allocated to diabetes with the lowest budget for diabetes care being in the African region (5) (2). The IDF estimates that Africa spends 7% of its healthcare budget on diabetes care (2).

The cost of non-communicable diseases such as diabetes has considerable impact on the macro and microeconomics. At the level of macro-economics, the long-term cost of care could have impacts on work resources and productivity, accumulation of capital and Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (6). At the level of the micro economy, the cost of diabetes care could represent a real threat to the household's financial capacity. Even, relatively small expenditures on health expenses can be financially disastrous for poor households. In many lowincome countries and some middle-income countries, out of pocket payments are the predominant way to pay for health care (7). In the absence of other mechanisms it may absorb the risk of sudden need to pay such items as insurance systems and with the increasing of level of poverty in these countries, catastrophic health care expenditure can result (8). It is very interesting to note that in Germany where the GDP is US\$ 32,860 per capita, only 11.3% of all medical expenses is paid by households and the rest by social health insurance or by the government. The picture is inverted in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, one of the low-income countries, where GDP per capita is only US\$ 120, where 90% of the money spent on health care is paid directly by households to providers (WHO, 2007).

There are important points when looking into the cost of diabetes care in Africa. The number of diabetic patients is rising and mostly affects young adults. This has the power to affect the economic output and has negative effects in

List of abbreviations:

ADA	American Diabetes Association
COI	Cost of Illness
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GDPD	Gross Domestic Product deflator index
GNI	Gross National Income
ICER	Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio

the social security of many families within the region (9). Health care systems in African countries depend deeply on out of pocket (OOP) expenses to cover diabetes care and it was found to be the lowest spending in comparison with other regions in the world (4).

Methodology

1. Literature review

A literature review was conducted using a systematic approach to answer the research question. The systematic approach aims to consider all available and eligible evidence based on inclusion and exclusion criteria.

2. Search strategy

Personal computer search was conducted to identify studies for inclusion. The literature search was undertaken in PubMed and University of South Wales library. Additional searches were also undertaken in Google Scholar. Key words were carefully selected to ensure that all relevant material was included and to avoid including unnecessary articles. Assess ment for eligibility through full text review to determine if the inclusion and exclusion criteria were satisfied. Articles were then downloaded for a full-text review.

3. Key terms for search

The key words used in the search were carefully selected to cover all necessary materials and avoid unnecessary articles. The medical subject heading (MeSH) terms used for search were cost of illness and diabetes and the terms used in the search were "Burden of illness", "cost", "cost analysis", "cost of disease", "cost of illness", "disease burden", "economic burden", "healthcare cost", "health expenditures", "Africa", "south africa" "sub-Saharan africa", ","african countries" and "african country (name of country added)". All searches were done in April 2019.

4. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The following inclusion criteria were selected:

• Papers published between 2005 and 2016.

• Papers in English language; as the researchers do not have access to English translation services for non-English papers.

• Systematic review published in peer-reviewed journals; Secondary studies represent summary and statistical analysis of collective selected studies based on research question. This increased the sources of studies included in the research. Primary studies were included if they were relevant to the research question.

• Papers that reported original research findings on diabetes COI or health expenditure data.

ID	International Dollar
IDF	International Diabetes Federation
LMICs	Low- and Middle-Income Countries
NGOs	Non-Governmental Organizations
NCDs	Non-Communicable Diseases
OOP	Out of Pocket
WHO	World Health Organization

• Papers covered at least one African country as defined by the United Nations (table 1a).

- Studies included low- and middle-income African countries by the World Bank classification
- · Studies included human participants.

The following exclusion criteria were selected: • Papers reported only costs related to diabetes prevention.

Studies that did not provide original research or details on how costs were calculated. This was to exclude studies not included the process of cost calculation.
Original research means research with defined methodology and results either primary or secondary.
Conference abstracts or poster presentations as the details of the studies cannot be found to judge on their eligibility.

· Animal studies.

• Studies that do not have full text. Those studies with no full text will remain obscure and cannot be assessed for their quality and relevance to the research question. In addition, data cannot be extracted from them.

• Studies included a cost- effective analysis of drug intervention and treatment. Such studies do not consider the total cost (direct, indirect and intangible costs) of economic burden of diabetes care on health care systems, which is identified, measured and expressed in monetary terms.

• Studies conducted in non-African and / or high-income countries.

Data extraction

Data extraction is an important process where the data from the selected studies are extracted and presented in tables for analysis. Two tables were created to accommodate these data. The first table was created to represent data such as year of publication, research objectives and type of estimated cost. Cost was grouped as out-patient cost, in-patient cost, cost of drugs and joint cost. Joint cost was used when in-patient and out-patient are not separated. It also included indirect costs such as loss of work, disability and premature mortality.

Whenever the costs were not mentioned clearly in a study, data from the study was used where possible to calculate the cost to improve the comparability of costs across the studies. Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) is a popular metric used by macroeconomic analysts. It compares economic productivity and standards of living between countries.

The costs which were reported in local currency were converted to international dollars using Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) by using the following equation:

1

Value in international dollars = value in national currency / PPP exchange rate.

To estimate the PPP exchange rate, a web based tool developed as a joint initiative between the Campbell and Cochrane Economics Methods Group (CCEMG) and the Evidence for Policy and Practice Information and

Coordinating Centre (EPPI-Centre) was used (10). Cost was first adjusted to inflation in their costing original year and local currency and then adjusted for inflation to give target year cost by using inflation factor. Gross Domestic Product deflator index (GDPD values) was used to adjust the original price to a target price year. The GDPD values are a measure of the change over time in prices within an economy. This can be viewed as a measure of general inflation within an economy over time, which takes account of inflation across a broad range of economic sectors. GDPD values are obtained from the International Monetary Fund (IMF) World Economic Outlook Database 'GDP deflator index' dataset (11). This dataset contains GDPD values for 184 countries (currencies) from 1980 onwards (International Monetary Fund, 2018). It is updated biennially in April and October and each new release dataset is imported into the database underlying this web-based tool (11).

Due to the lack of detail in published original, some modulations were done. In studies where the year of data collection was not clear, the date of publication was used. In extended studies where the study extended for more than a year, the final year in which the study concluded was used.

The second table was created to assess the quality of each study. There are many checklists developed to evaluate the economic studies, many of them focus on cost benefit studies, cost effective studies and cost utility studies (12). For COI studies, there are some checklists that have been used (13), (14). In this research, the ten points check list for economic evaluation which was developed by Drummond (15) and later adopted to COI studies by Molinier et al (14) and several COI studies (16) (17) that used it, were adopted.

Results

The initial search strategy detected a total of 356 articles, from which 15 duplicates were excluded. Of the remaining 431 articles, 282 were removed during the first level of title screening and 19 removed during the second level of abstract screening leaving 40 articles for full text evaluation. Of the 40 studies that underwent full text review, 16 were excluded for one of the following reasons: was a literature review of diabetes (not costs), did not provide patient specific costs or presented costs that had been calculated in another included study, or a cost–effective study that compared different interventions. Twenty-four studies were identified that met the inclusion criteria (Table 1).

All studies in this review provided good information to calculate per capita costs. Some studies (n=4) even showed national costs of diabetes (18), (19), (20). In Nigeria, national costs of diabetes was found to be in a range of I\$ 3.5 billion – I\$ 4.5 billion per annum (18),(20) In Morocco it was higher than Nigeria; the calculated national cost (direct and indirect costs) ranged from I\$ 5.9 – I\$ 8.2 billion per annum (19).

In this review, direct cost involved medical and non-medical cost. Tables 5 a, b and c, showed details of the costs. The tables gathered costs based on outpatient, inpatient and combined gathered costs. Some studies (n=11) showed outpatient costs per individual per annum (21), (18), (22), (23), (24), (25), (20), (26), (27), (28). An interesting point is that calculation of cost used various data, so the results should not be used for direct comparison of costs between countries, as the data sources were different.

For direct cost, the most common used data from health care items was drug costs, then diagnostic costs, used medical supply and consultation costs. Through the review, outpatient costs differed through included studies, so to make comparison easier, this cost was presented as per capita costs. Interestingly, it found that there is no linear increase in costs through 2002 – 2016 for individual country estimates. There was no specific explanation for this finding. For example, in Nigeria the direct per capita cost in 2004 was I\$ 1143 while in 2012 it was I\$ 616. More research is recommended to focus on this point to find explanation for this finding.

The studies included in the review showed wide variation in costs between countries and this was attributed to the differences in costing methods and cost items included in the calculation. In studies when the costing methods and costs items were similar, it was noticed that Burkina Faso had the higher outpatients cost, followed by Mali, Benin and Guinea (21).

In addition, it was noticed that the cost of hospitalization varies considerably within and between countries. For example, in South Africa the cost in 2005 was I\$ 1813 and raised to I\$ 6871 in 2009.

Out of pocket, (OOP) expenditure was mentioned as challenge for health care flow in some studies. It could obstruct access to health care services and leave the health problems to grow to a complicated status (29) which could lead to catastrophic health expenditure and subsequent impoverishment. The WHO – African region -2014 (30) expenditure atlas, showed that catastrophic health expenditure is low in countries where OOP expenditure < 20% of total health expenditure (30). Based on the WHO – African region (2014) expenditure, South Africa was the only country in which OOP expenditure was <20%. This finding reflects that diabetes is a chronic disease and needs frequent and continuous access to health care services.

Drug cost it was noticed, was mentioned separately in the majority of studies included in this review. It was found that in studies mentioned both drug costs and cost of treatment, drug cost composed the significant part, for example, it was 14% - 90% in Nigeria, 64% in Ethiopia, 53% in Sudan, 4% - 7% in South Africa and 5% in Uganda . Variation in methodology, number of participants and different treatment costs may create variation in the percentage of cost of drugs from the total treatment cost such as in Nigeria and South Africa. In addition, it was noticed that

some costs were mentioned separately in most of the studies. Diagnostic costs (n=12), transportation (n=9) and consultation costs (n=7) were among costs mentioned separately in the studies included in this review.

In some studies (n=3) which showed separately the cost associated with type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes, they showed that the direct costs of type 1 diabetes was higher than type 2 diabetes (18). Although, this was a significant finding, still the bulk of diabetes mellitus cases was from type 2 (85 - 90% of diabetes) while type 1 constituted 5 - 10% of the diabetes mellitus bulk.

Some studies included in this review (n=5), calculated the cost of certain complications (21), (18), (31), (32), (33). Among these studies, there are some studies (n=3) which concentrated primarily on calculating the cost related to diabetic foot ulcer (31), (32), (33).

The cost of diabetic foot ulcer varied based on the stage of ulcers. Interestingly, two studies (n=2) showed that presence of complications increased the costs of diabetes care (31), (32). Such findings pointed to the importance of pre-action and presented evidence to the decisionmakers to create plans for preventing future complications to decrease the cost of care.

Studies showed that the highest burden of diabetes was among patients of low socio-economic status (24), (27). Three studies investigated the relation of age to cost of care. In Nigeria, Ipingbemi and Erhun (27), showed that the mean of outpatient cost of diabetes was highest among those in the age group 60-69 years. In Sudan, Elrayah-Eliadarous et al (23) also showed that the cost was highest in those > 60 years. In Zimbabwe, Mutowo et al (34) found that hospitalization costs were lower in those > 65 years. Alouki et al (21) and Elrayah-Eliadarous et al (23) found that cost of care in public sector was less than cost in private sector. Lack of guidelines and standards for practice could create variation in practice and variation in cost of care.

Discussion

Over the last two decades, numerous economic studies of diabetes have showed that diabetes mellitus is attributed to a huge economic burden. This research aimed to identify the evidence and summarize the findings concerning the economic burden.

The findings found through the twenty-four (n=24) selected studies included in this research pointed to a huge annual economic burden of diabetes in Africa. The majority of these costs were related to patients and reflected large pressure on the accessibility and continuity of the health care services (4). Unfortunately, these costs will increase with the presence of complications (32). It was expected from other studies that direct costs were always higher than indirect costs but an interesting finding from two studies included in the research showed that indirect costs are higher than direct costs. These findings were

contrary to findings identified by Seruing et al (35) who found that direct cost related to diabetes care was higher than indirect cost. Seuring and colleagues' finding was based on a total of twenty-six (n=26) studies while the finding in this research was based on two studies.

Seuring et al (35) in their systematic review stated that there are some studies included in their search that did not specify the type of diabetes. The same happened in this research where some studies did not identify the types of diabetes.

The uncertainty on specification type of diabetes make it difficult to compare differences on cost of care between type 2 diabetes which is the most common in Africa (5) and which can be prevented (36) and type 1 diabetes. Nevertheless, three studies (n=3) included in this research showed that the cost of type 1 diabetes care is higher than the cost of type 2 diabetes care. The cost ratio (cost of type 1 diabetes / cost of type 2 diabetes) was found to be in the range of 1.8 - 5.66 in this research. Ng et al (37), found that the cost ratio ranged between 1.5 - 4.4 in their systematic review.

For the cost ratio for diabetes with complications vs no complications, it was found in the range of 1.08 - 4.38 in this research while in Ng et al it was found in the range of 1.9 - 2.1.

In this research, studies showed total treatment costs; the drug cost constituted the large part of these costs. This finding was noticed also by Yesudian et al (38) who found that drug cost participates by 50% in the total cost care. The explanation for this finding could be the habit of the physician to prescribe branded drugs. The change of habit to write generic drugs may help in Africa to reduce the drug cost (39).

Through the studies included in this research, findings showed that diabetes care affects heavily the low-income groups (27). Yesudian et al (38), also made this finding. Most of the studies in this research were prevalence based which was found by many as the most suitable approach for COI studies measuring cost of chronic diseases (13). Yesudian et al, and Ng et al adopted the same approach in their systematic review (38), (37).

Policymakers used COI studies as a tool for education or source for information to support their decision-making processes. It is crucial that COI studies be adequately designed to assess the economic burden of diabetes. The design of such studies should also account for the variability in costs identified and the results need to be interpreted carefully. Therefore, it is recommended the development and implementation of guidelines to standardize study methodology for COI studies.

Limitations

Exclusion of articles not written in English, is one of the limitations that may introduce some bias into this research. Omitted articles not written in English nor had English translation may led to omission of relevant data.

The checklist used in this research to assess the quality of included papers, does not give weighting scores on the various items included in the list and rely on the subjective view of the researcher. As a result, all items were given equal scoring although some items influence results more than others do.

Conclusion

In spite of data limitations, the estimates reported in this research showed that diabetes imposes a substantive economic burden on low and middle African countries. Among total cost of care, drug cost represents the largest burden on total cost estimation. These results ring the bell for more polices to reduce this burden on individuals and decrease the cost of drugs. As the prevalence of diabetes in Africa is expected to rise, these costs are also expected to rise.

Standardization of cost calculation was an important missed issue through studies included. Different methods for calculation were noticed which reflected negatively on comparing between results. COI studies are crucial for decision makers to help them through providing information to design plans to decrease this burden. Future research should work on standardizing the methodology of estimation costs of care. Further research within countries and through countries is recommended to provide more data on diabetes care costs.

References

1. Ettaro L, Zhang P, Engelgau MM (2004). Cost-ofillness studies in diabetes mellitus. PharmacoEconomics. ;22(3):149–64.

2. Cho, N.H., Shaw, J.E., Karuranga, S., Huang, Y., da Rocha Fernandes, J.D., Ohlrogge, A.W. and Malanda, B., 2018. IDF Diabetes Atlas: global estimates of diabetes prevalence for 2017 and projections for 2045. Diabetes research and clinical practice, 138, pp.271-281.

 WHO . Global status report on non communicable diseases 2014. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2014.
 Mbanya, J.C., 2003. Diabetes Cost: Sub-Saharan Africa Perspective. International textbook of diabetes mellitus.

5. International diabetes atlas (2017) [online] available at: file:///C:/Users/هـــــ/AppData/Local/Packages/Microsoft. MicrosoftEdge_8wekyb3d8bbwe/TempState/Downloads/ IDF-DA-8e-EN-finalR3%20(1).pdf [Accessed 26 Feb 2019]

6. Abegunde, D. O., Mathers, C. D., Adam, T., Ortegon, M., & Strong, K. (2007). The burden and costs of chronic diseases in low-income and middle-income countries. Lancet, 370(9603), 1929-1938.

7. World Health Organization. PAYING FOR HEALTH SERVICES.int. (2007). [online] Available at: https://www. who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs320.pdf [Accessed 16 Feb. 2019].

8. Xu K, Evans DB, Kawabata K, Zeramdini R, Klavus J, Murray CJL (2003). Household catastrophic health expenditure: a multi-country analysis. Lancet; 362:111-7.

9. Manne-Goehler, J., Atun, R., Stokes, A., Goehler, A., Houinato, D., Houehanou, C., Hambou, M.M.S., Mbenza, B.L., Sobngwi, E., Balde, N. and Mwangi, J.K., 2016. Diabetes diagnosis and care in sub-Saharan Africa: pooled analysis of individual data from 12 countries. The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology, 4(11), pp.903-912.

10. CCEMG - EPPI-Centre Cost Converter 2016 [online] available at https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/costconversion/ [accessed at 28th April 2019].

11. International Monetary Fund. World Economic Outlook Database (October 2018) [online] available at : https:// www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/weo/2018/02/weodata/ index.aspx. [accessed 5th June 2019]

12. Higgins, J.P. and Green, S., 2006. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions.

13. Larg, A. and Moss, J.R., 2011. Cost-of-illness studies. Pharmacoeconomics, 29(8), pp.653-671.

14. Molinier, L., Bauvin, E., Combescure, C., Castelli, C., Rebillard, X., Soulié, M., Daurès, J.P. and Grosclaude, P., 2008. Methodological considerations in cost of prostate cancer studies: a systematic review. Value in Health, 11(5), pp.878-885.

15. Drummond, M.F., Sculpher, M.J., Claxton, K., Stoddart, G.L. and Torrance, G.W., 2015. Methods for the economic evaluation of health care programmes. Oxford university press.

16. Nadège, C., Valérie, G., Laura, F., Hélène, D.B., Vanina, B., Olivier, D., Bernard, F. and Laurent, M., 2011. The cost of cochlear implantation: a review of methodological considerations. International journal of otolaryngology, 2011.

17. Becker, C., 2014. Cost-of-illness studies of atrial fibrillation: methodological considerations. Expert review of pharmacoeconomics & outcomes research, 14(5), pp.661-684.

18. Suleiman, I., Fadeke, O. and Okubanjo, O., 2006. Pharmacoeconomic evaluation of anti-diabetic therapy in a Nigerian Tertiary Health Institution. Annals of African medicine, 5(3), pp.132-137.

19. Boutayeb, W., Lamlili, M.E., Boutayeb, A. and Boutayeb, S., 2013. Estimation of direct and indirect cost of diabetes in Morocco. Journal of Biomedical Science and Engineering, 6(07), p.732.

20. Abdulganiyu, G. and Fola, T., 2014. What is the cost of illness of type II Diabetes Mellitus in a Developing Economy. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci, 6(Suppl 2), pp.927-31.

21. Alouki, K., Delisle, H., Besançon, S., Baldé, N., Sidibé-Traoré, A., Drabo, J., Djrolo, F., Mbanya, J.C. and Halimi, S., 2015. Simple calculator to estimate the medical cost of diabetes in sub-Saharan Africa. World journal of diabetes, 6(16), p.1312.

22. Ogle, G.D., Kim, H., Middlehurst, A.C., Silink, M. and Jenkins, A.J., 2016. Financial costs for families of children with Type 1 diabetes in lower-income countries. Diabetic Medicine, 33(6), pp.820-826.

23. Elrayah-Eliadarous, H., Yassin, K., Eltom, M., Abdelrahman, S., Wahlström, R. and Östenson, C.G., 2010. Direct costs for care and glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes in Sudan. Experimental and clinical endocrinology & diabetes, 118(04), pp.220-225.

24. Okoronkwo, I.L., Ekpemiro, J.N., Okwor, E.U., Okpala,

P.U. and Adeyemo, F.O., 2015. Economic burden and catastrophic cost among people living with type2 diabetes mellitus attending a tertiary health institution in south-east zone, Nigeria. BMC research notes, 8(1), p.527.

25. Bovet, P., Shamlaye, C., Gabriel, A., Riesen, W. and Paccaud, F., 2006. Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in a middle-income country and estimated cost of a treatment strategy. BMC Public health, 6(1), p.9.

26. Quaye, E.A., Amporful, E.O., Akweongo, P. and Aikins, M.K., 2015. Analysis of the financial cost of diabetes mellitus in four cocoa clinics of Ghana. Value in health regional issues, 7, pp.49-53.

27. Ipingbemi, A.E. and Erhun, W.O., 2015. Cost implications of treatment of diabetes mellitus in a secondary healthcare facility in Ibadan. African journal of medicine and medical sciences, 44(1), pp.79-87.

28. Volmink, H.C., Bertram, M.Y., Jina, R., Wade, A.N. and Hofman, K.J., 2014. Applying a private sector capitation model to the management of type 2 diabetes in the South African public sector: a cost-effectiveness analysis. BMC health services research, 14(1), p.444.

29. Jakovljevic, M. and Getzen, T.E., 2016. Growth of global health spending share in low and middle income countries. Frontiers in pharmacology, 7, p.21.

30. World Health Organization 2014. WHO African region expenditure atlas, November 2014 [online] available at https://www.afro.who.int/sites/default/files/2017-06/who-african-region-expenditure-atlas_-november-2014.pdf [accessed on 25/5/2019].

31. Cavanagh, P., Attinger, C., Abbas, Z., Bal, A., Rojas, N. and Xu, Z.R., 2012. Cost of treating diabetic foot ulcers in five different countries. Diabetes/metabolism research and reviews, 28, pp.107-111.

32. Danmusa, U.M., Terhile, I., Nasir, I.A., Ahmad, A.A. and Muhammad, H.Y., 2016. Prevalence and healthcare costs associated with the management of diabetic foot ulcer in patients attending Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria. International journal of health sciences, 10(2), p.219.

33. Ogbera, A.O., Fasanmade, O., Ohwovoriole, A.E. and Adediran, O., 2006. An assessment of the disease burden of foot ulcers in patients with diabetes mellitus attending a teaching hospital in Lagos, Nigeria. The international journal of lower extremity wounds, 5(4), pp.244-249.

34. Mutowo, M.P., Lorgelly, P.K., Laxy, M., Renzaho, A., Mangwiro, J.C. and Owen, A.J., 2016. The hospitalization costs of diabetes and hypertension complications in Zimbabwe: estimations and correlations. Journal of diabetes research, 2016.

35. Seuring, T., Archangelidi, O. and Suhrcke, M., 2015. The economic costs of type 2 diabetes: a global systematic review. Pharmacoeconomics, 33(8), pp.811-831.
36. World Health Organization, 2016. Global report on diabetes. Geneva: World Health Organisation [online] available at https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/ h and le/10665/204871/9789241565257_eng. pdf?sequence=1 [accessed on23/5/2019]

37. Ng, C.S., Lee, J.Y., Toh, M.P. and Ko, Y., 2014. Costof-illness studies of diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. Diabetes research and clinical practice, 105(2), pp.151-163. 38. Yesudian, C.A., Grepstad, M., Visintin, E. and Ferrario, A., 2014. The economic burden of diabetes in India: a review of the literature. Globalization and health, 10(1), p.80.

39. Enwere, O.O., Salako, B.L. and Falade, C.O., 2006. Prescription and cost consideration at a diabetic clinic in Ibadan, Nigeria: A report. Annals of Ibadan postgraduate medicine, 4(2).

40. Fadare, J., Olamoyegun, M. and Gbadegesin, B.A., 2015. Medication adherence and direct treatment cost among diabetes patients attending a tertiary healthcare facility in Ogbomosho, Nigeria. Malawi medical journal, 27(2), pp.65-70.

41. Feleke, Y. and Enquselassie, F., 2007. Cost of hospitalization of diabetic patients admitted at Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Ethiopian medical journal, 45(3), pp.275-282.

42. Kirigia, J.M., Sambo, H.B., Sambo, L.G. and Barry, S.P., 2009. Economic burden of diabetes mellitus in the WHO African region. BMC international health and human rights, 9(1), p.6.

43. Labhardt, N.D., Balo, J.R., Ndam, M., Manga, E. and Stoll, B., 2011. Improved retention rates with low-cost interventions in hypertension and diabetes management in a rural African environment of nurse-led care: a clusterrandomised trial. Tropical Medicine & International Health, 16(10), pp.1276-1284.

44. Mwavua, S.M., Ndungu, E.K., Mutai, K.K. and Joshi, M.D., 2016. A comparative study of the quality of care and glycemic control among ambulatory type 2 diabetes mellitus clients, at a Tertiary Referral Hospital and a Regional Hospital in Central Kenya. BMC research notes, 9(1), p.12.

45. Ncube-Zulu, T. and Danckwerts, M.P., 2014. Comparative hospitalization cost and length of stay between patients with and without diabetes in a large tertiary hospital in Johannesburg, South Africa. International Journal of Diabetes in Developing Countries, 34(3), pp.156-162.

46. Pepper, D.J., Burch, V.C., Levitt, N.S. and Cleary, S., 2007. Hyperglycaemic emergency admissions to a secondary-Level hospital—an unnecessary financial burden. Journal of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Diabetes of South Africa, 12(2), pp.56-60.

47. Settumba, S.N., Sweeney, S., Seeley, J., Biraro, S., Mutungi, G., Munderi, P., Grosskurth, H. and Vassall, A., 2015. The health system burden of chronic disease care: an estimation of provider costs of selected chronic diseases in Uganda. Tropical medicine & international health, 20(6), pp.781-790.

48. Suleiman, I.A. and Festus, J.A., 2015. Cost of illness among diabetes mellitus patients in N iger D elta, N igeria. Journal of Pharmaceutical Health Services Research, 6(1), pp.53-60.

Table 1	C					s - 10		0	20 X
Cost data source	Hospital or medical centre	Hospital or medical centre Various data source	Hospital or medical centre	Hospital or medical centre	patients	Hospital or medical centre	Patients plus hospital	Hospital or medical centre	Hospital plus other government institution
Study focus	General cost	General cost General cost	Diabetic foot ulcer	Diabetic foot ulcer	General cost	drugs	General cost	General cost	General cost
Epidemiological approach	Prevalence	Prevalence Prevalence	Prevalence	Prevalence	Prevalence	Prevalence	Prevalence	Prevalence	Prevalence
Sample size	101-1000	na >1000000	вц	1-100	101-1000	101-1000	101-1000	101-1000	1-100
DMtype	Type 2	Type 2 Type 182	Not specified	Type 2	Type 2	Not specified	Type 1&2	Type 18.2	Type 2
Perspective	Health system/ patient	Family/ patient Not specified	Health system/ patient	Not specified	Not specified	Not specified	Family/patient	Not specified	Family/patient
Type of cost	Direct	Direct Indirect cost	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct
Region	Western Africa	Western Africa northern Africa	Eastern Africa	Western Africa	Africa	Western Africa	Western Africa	Eastern Africa	Western Africa
Number of African countries	1	×1 1	1	., i	1 ,	1	1	1	1
Year of costing	2010	2015 2013	2010	2014	2005	2000- 2005	2013	2000-2	2009-10
Year of publication	2014	2015 2013	2012	2016	2010	2006	2015	2007	2015
Authors	Abdulgani ya and Fola (20)	Alouki et al (21) Boutayeb et al (19)	Cavanagh et al (31)	Danmusa et al (32)	Elrayah- Eliadarouset al (23)	Enwere, Salako and Falade (39)	Fadare, Olamoyegun and Gbadegesin (40)	Feleke and Enquselassie (41)	Ipingbemi and Erhum (27)

			-	_					
WHO publications and various individual country services	Not clear Various data source	patients	Hospital or medical centre	Not clear	Hospital or medical centre	patients	Hospital or medical centre	Hospital or medical centre	Hospital or medical centre
General cost	Drugs General cost	General cost	General cost	Diabetic foot ulcer	General cost	General cost	General cost	General cost	General cost
Prevalence	Prevalence Prevalence	Prevalence	Prevalence	Prevalence	Prevalence	Prevalence	Prevalence	Prevalence	Prevalence
>1000000	101-1000 1-100	101-1000	101-1000	1-100	Not specified	101-1000	1-100	101-1000	Not specified
Type 1&2	Type 2 Type 2	Type 2	Not specified	Type 1&2	Type 1	Type 2	Not specified	Type 18.2	Not specified
societal	Family/patient societal	Not specified	Not specified	Family/patient	Family/patient	Family/patient	Not specified	Health system/instituti on	Health system/instituti on
Indirect cost	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct
WHO African region	Central Africa Southem Africa	Eastern Africa	Southem Africa	Western Africa	Central Africa	Western Africa	Southem Africa	Western Africa	Eastern Africa
7		1	1	1	7	1	1	1	1
2005	2011 2012-13	2012	2009	2003-4	2013-15	2015	2005	2009	2011
2009	2011 2016	2016	2014	2006	2015	2015	2007	2015	2015
Kirigia et al (42)	Labhardt et al (43) Mutowo et al (34)	Mwawa et al (44)	Ncube-zulu and Danckwerts (45)	Ogbera et al (33)	0gle et al (22)	Okoronkwo et al (24)	Pepperetal (46)	Quaye et al (26)	Settumbaet al (47)

REVIEWS